#### Soil Dynamics

.....So when is the concrete being delivered?



### How important is soil type?



# Video illustrating the dynamic nature of soil

Provided by the Society of Civil Engineers:

https://www.youtube.com///c

#### WHY we need to analyze soil conditions:

150 lbs per cu ft of "dead" Weight of Concrete when cured – **excluding** rebar, tower, antenna, rotor and wiring;

Tower concrete footings and piers are meant to act as an anchoring point for the tower/antenna dynamic reaction to the wind along with accommodating the dead weight.

Rule out subsurface "Unknowns";

Tower structure stability is determined by what type of soil it will be placed on-keeping the Tower structure upright and level is critical;

Adverse weather conditions can also expedite severe soil shifting conditions.

ADD to this scenario : a Tower adjoining another structure!!



#### Wish list of every Ham:

-We all want a tower that's tall enough to clear tree tops >55 ft;

-We all want a multi-banded "**MONSTER" directional** Antenna with A LOT of gain;

-We expect it to be functional all the time and to survive "Pocono weather" patternsa.k.a. frequent high winds, snow/ice and heavy rains;

What above equates to is a "structural system" that can support ANTENNA system components that will not fail because of unknown conditions!!



#### Different Soil conditions

Depending on the existing soils at the tower location will determine the appropriate engineered solution to carry the combined loads of the assembled tower and antenna.  Definitions according to USDA Guidelines.....

Silt. As a soil separate, individual mineral particles that range in diameter from the upper limit of clay (0.002 millimeter) to the lower limit of very fine sand (0.05 millimeter). As a soil textural class, soil that is 80 percent or more silt and less than 12 percent clay.

Loam. Soil material that is 7 to 27 percent clay particles, 28 to 50 percent silt particles, and less than 52 percent sand particles.

#### But where and How low?

# SOIL PROFILE

O HORIZON- "ORGANIC MATTER" A HORIZON- "TOPSOIL" B HORIZON- "SUBSOIL" C HORIZON- "PARENT MATERIAL"

RHORIZON- "BEDROCK"

#### GET to Know your sub surface Composition /

#### Spread (Column) Footing



- The widened part of a foundation that spreads a column load over a broader area of soil
- Design based on
  Soil Bearing Capacity
  - Column Load

Proper Soil Analysis to support Tower Manufacturer installation recommendations

- Particularly if the area in question frac brought in as "fill"; A profession determination is needed....
- if the current soil characteristics fit any of the known technical classifications and deemed "adequate" for construction.



### GUESS HOW Heavy a 6ft x 6ft x 6ft <u>solid</u> concrete base weighs?

HINT: Weighs more than the Tower, Antenna and appurtenances....



#### Answer:

#### ► 32,400 LBS!

- Image all this weight concentrated in one spot. The soils reaction to this pressure must be able to withstand this 900 Lb /sq ft surface bearing force over time.
- NOTE: If soil conditions are not "idea" it cannot support dead-loading condition then resulting consequences which my be severe!



## **Huge** Tower Bases for HAMS – Not Uncommon!!

- Special thanks to Bill/W3MJ for these pictures:
- A 6ft X 6ft X 6ft concrete base was installed at his QTH to support his antenna and tower. The concrete is also anchored to in ground undisturbed rocks at the bottom.

### If IN DOUBT... Plan FOR THE WORST CASE





Immediate area under the concrete footing will be subject to immense downward pressure and spread to the surrounding area. The underlying soil must have the correct composition to withstand this over time.



# NOW Consider the entire Antenna tower as a "<u>SYSTEM</u>"

- The tower is comprised of multiple assembly points where each mechanical connection introduces a stress point.
- All the combined weights of all mounted devices contribute to the overall load of the tower.
- Imagine a wind force great enough that's capable of toppling it over, think of this as a likely scenario.
- All of the individual components are a factor for resisting opposing forces such as wind shear:
- -"Sleek" Antenna design to reduce wind loading
- Antenna mast thrust bearing at rated loads,
- Tower rung patterns and overall leg diameters;
- Multiple guyed connections maybe required.
- VISIT EACH TOWER MANUFACTURES WEBSITE to COMPARE HOW THESE PARAMETERS DIFFER. Differences may save you work in the long run!

#### A State Licensed Professional will:

- Examine soil conditions;
- Research local area historical weather patterns and propose any modifications for the tower installation;
- Determine concrete and all tower anchoring details;
- Tower system analysis for all mechanical connections and loading;
- Provide a drawing to appease any local municipality requirements.

# CONSULT with A Professional Engineer!

| KWWL, Rowley, Iowa   | November 28, 1983 | Guyed steel lattice<br>mast               | 610 | Ice               | WDIO-TV Duluth, Minnesota,<br>US   | March 23, 1991                        | Guyed steel<br>triangular tower       | 259                                   | Ice and high wind           |
|--|-------------------|---|-----|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bielstein, Germany   | January 15, 1985  | Guyed steel tube<br>mast                  | 298 | Ice               | ~  |                                       |                                       |                                       |                             |
| San Francisco, CA, US -<br>Candlestick Hill                                    | February 14, 1986 | Self-supporting tower                     | 137 | High wind.        | Warsaw radio mast,<br>Konstantynów, Poland   | August 8, 1991                        | Guyed steel tube<br>framework mast    | 648                                   | Maintenance                 |
| Caroline 558 and Radio<br>Monique mast, aboard MV<br>Ross Revenge, off English | November 25, 1987 | Lattice steel tower                       | 92  | Force 8 storm     | WCIX TV Tower Homestead,<br>Florida  | August 25, 1992                       | Guyed steel tower                     | 549                                   | Hurricane Andrew            |
| coast  |                   |   |     |                   | COMMSTA Miami  | 1992                                  | Guyed mast                            | 91                                    | Hurricane Andrew            |
| KTUL Tower Coweta, OK  | December 26, 1987 | Lattice steel guyed tower                 | 582 | Ice storm         |  |                                       | (insulated)                           |                                       |                             |
|  |                   |   |     |                   | Cape Race LORAN-C  | February 2, 1003                      | Guyed steel lattice mast              | 411                                   | Material fault              |
| Vännäs TV Tower, Vännäs,<br>Sweden   | 1988              | Guyed mast on top<br>of a concrete tower. | 323 | Icing             | Canada   | , obradily 2, 1000                    |                                       |                                       |                             |
| KTVO-TV Tower, Colony,<br>Missouri   | June 2, 1988      | Guyed steel lattice mast                  | 610 | During repairs    | LORAN-C transmitter<br>Kargaburan, Kargaburan,<br>Turkey   | February 25, 1993                     | Guyed steel lattice mast              | 191                                   | Snowstorm                   |
| KGO (AM) towers Newark,<br>California  | October 17, 1989  | ?   | 91  | Earthquake        | WCOV-TV Tower,<br>Montgomery, Alabama, US  | 1996                                  | ?                                     | 242                                   | Tornado                     |
| WRAL-TV & WPTF-TV  | December 1989     | Two guyed steel tube framework            | 609 | Ice               | Langenberg, Germany  | September 2, 1996                     | Guyed steel lattice mast              | 160                                   | Maintenance                 |
| towers, Auburn   |                   | masts                                     |     |                   | KXTX-TV Tower Cedar Hill,  | October 12, 1996                      | Guyed steel tower                     | 468                                   | Maintenance for DTV install |
| RÚV long wave radio mast,  | February 3, 1991  | Guyed steel lattice mast                  |     | Storm             | Texas  |                                       |                                       |                                       |                             |
| Iceland  |                   |   |     |                   | Moldova  | 1997                                  | Guyed steel lattice<br>mast           | 350                                   | Icing                       |
|  |                   |   |     |                   | Grigoriopol transmitter,<br>Moldova  | 1997                                  | Guyed steel lattice mast              | 250                                   | Icing                       |
| WDIO-TV Duluth, Minnesota,<br>US   | March 23, 1991    | Guyed steel<br>triangular tower           | 259 | Ice and high wind | KXJB-TV mast, North Dakota,<br>US  | April 6, 1997                         | Guyed steel lattice mast              | 628                                   | Ice                         |
|  |                   |   |     |                   | and the second | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                             |

Common Causes of commercial tower failures





### Hurricane Andrew WCIX Tower - FLA

- Limit the excavator equipment for gross area being dug out. The ideal is to disturb the least amount of area with heavy equipment;
- "Fine Tune" the cut by removing remaining areas by hand
- Leave very large stones in the cut unless it prohibits anchoring the new concrete effectively;
- When back filling area, use vibratory machines and compact every foot when proceeding- we call this "Lifts";
- And...Don't forget the electrical grounding loop for the tower to be buried as well!

**HA** 

# Tips on Excavating

| USDA                                    | Natural R         | esources C        | onservatio      | n Service About Us   Soil Survey Releases | s   National Centers | State Websites                        |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| United States Department of Agriculture |                   |                   |                 |   |                      | Q                                     |
| Topics                                  | Soil Survey       | Soil Health       | Contact Us      |   | Browse By Audience   | A-Z Index   Help                      |
| You are He                              | re: Home / Soil S | Survey / Soil Sur | veys by State / | Soil Survey List                          | <b>1</b>             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

Stay Connected 🚺 🎽 👛 🎽 🚨

#### Soil Survey

Soil Survey - Home

Soil Surveys by State

Partnerships

Publications

 Soil Classification Soil Geography Tools

Soil Climate Research Stations **Published Soil Surveys for Pennsylvania** 

Current, official soil survey information is on the Web Soil Survey. The Web Soil Survey allows you to create custom reports by selecting a specific area of interest. In the table below, clicking on a survey area that is listed as "current" takes you to the Web Soil Survey.

Historical and supplemental documents are available below. Printed soil survey reports were the main source of soils information from 1899 to 2005. Most of these reports are county-based, have been converted to PDF, and are available from links below. The reports are also available at Federal depository libraries. USDA phased out the printing of reports after making Web Soil Survey the official source for information in 2005.

Most of the archived soil survey reports include detailed soil maps. For help accessing these historical maps, click here.

In some cases, the published reports are available at local USDA offices. For information about reference copies of a publication or for information about publications that are not on the following list, contact the State Conservationist.

| Soil survey name (Click links for online surveys.) | Date | Archived PDF<br>online | Web Soil<br>Survey<br>(generated<br>from official<br>soil data) |
|--|------|------------------------|---|
| Adams County                                       | 1904 | Yes                    | No  |
| Adams County                                       | 1967 | Yes                    | No  |

What is your soil type? .....USDA Resource aids:

Historical Data but must be considered only for known undisturbed areas

#### **Digging further: USDA WEBSITE APP - "AOI"**





#### MONROE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

#### TABLE 4---ACREAGE AND PROPORTIONATE EXTENT OF THE SOILS

| Map<br>symbol | Soil name   | Acres  | Percent |
|---------------|---|--------|---------|
|               |   |        |         |
|               |   |        |         |
| Ad            | Alden mucky silt loam   | 799    | 0.2     |
| AnA           | Allenwood gravelly silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes   | 444    | 0.1     |
| AnB           | Allenwood gravelly silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 670    | 0.2     |
| Anc           | Allenwood gravelly silt loam, 8 to 20 percent slopes  | 197    | 0.1     |
| As            | Alluvial landessessessessessessessessessessessessess  | 2,771  | 0.7     |
| AVB           | Alvira gravelly silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes  | 540    | 0.1     |
| AWB           | Alvira and Watson very stony loams, 0 to 12 percent slopes  | 764    | 0.2     |
| Bab           | Bath channery sllt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes  | 017    | 0.2     |
| Bat           | Bath channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes-teresteresteresteresteresterestereste   | 000    | 1 0.2   |
| Bab           | Bath channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes  | 219    | 0.1     |
| BDB           | Bath very stony silt loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 510    | 0.1     |
| BBC           | Bath very stony slit loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes   | 402    | 0.1     |
| BeB           | Benson-Hock outcrop complex, U to 8 percent slopes  | 1,763  | 0.5     |
| BeC           | Benson-Rock outcrop complex, 8 to 25 percent slopes-  | 5,761  | 1.5     |
| Ber           | Benson-Hock outcrop complex, 25 to 70 percent slopes  | 5,600  | 1.4     |
| BrA           | Braceville gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes   | 1,065  | 0.3     |
| BrB           | Braceville gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes-second states and a second state state state state states and | 1,404  | 0.4     |
| BUB           | Buchanan loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes  | 822    | 0.2     |
| BXB           | Buchanan extremely stony loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 3,242  | 0.8     |
| BxC           | Buchanan extremely stony loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes   | 407    | 0.1     |
| ChA           | Chenango gravelly loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes   | 1,273  | 0.3     |
| CnB           | Chenango gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 1,539  | 0.4     |
| ChC           | Chenango gravelly loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes  | 175    | 0.2     |
| CmA<br>C= D   | Chippewa and Norwich slit loams. U to 5 percent slopes-   | 19 202 | 1 0.2   |
| CDB           | Chippewa and worwich extremely scony soils, 0 to o percent slopestodestates   | 10,797 | 1 4.0   |
| Сря           | Clymer loam, U to 3 percent slopes  | 1.058  | 0.4     |
| CpC           | Clymer loam, 5 to 6 percent slopes  | 652    | 0.3     |
| CvB           | Clymer Joam, o to 19 percent slopes   | 8 372  | 2 1     |
| CVC           | Clymer extremely stony loam, 6 to 25 percent slopes   | 1 251  | 0.3     |
| Cv            | Cut and fill land   | ມ 200  | 1 1     |
| DYB           | Devals extremely story loam. 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 3,110  | 0.8     |
| DxC           | Dekalb extremely story form, 8 to 25 percent slopes   | 3, 293 | 0.8     |
| DxE           | Dekalb extremely stony loam, 25 to 80 percent slopes  | 3.634  | 0.9     |
| ExB           | Emperville extremely story soudy loam 0 to 8 percent slopes   | 5.668  | 1.4     |
| HaB           | Hartleton channery sit loam. 2 to 8 percent slopes  | 2,680  | 0.7     |
| HaC           | Hartleton channery silt loam. 8 to 20 percent slopes  | 1,232  | 0.3     |
| HxB           | Hazleton extremely stony sandy loam. 0 to 8 percept slopes  | 775    | 0.2     |
| HxC           | Hazleton extremely stony sandy loam. 8 to 25 percent slopes   | 2.366  | 0.6     |
| Hy            | Holly silt loam   | 3,220  | 0.8     |
| KaB           | Kedron silt loam. 2 to 8 percent slopes   | 1,203  | 0.3     |
| KaC           | Kedron silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 192    | (1)     |
| KdB           | Kedron very stony loam. 0 to 8 percent slopes   | 1.375  | 0.4     |
| KvB           | Klinesville channery silt loam. 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 688    | 0.2     |
| KvC           | Klinesville channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 712    | 0.2     |
| KvD           | Klinesville channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes   | 488    | 0.1     |
| LaB           | Lackawanna channery loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes   | 2,921  | 0.7     |
| LaC           | Lackawanna channery loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 1,527  | 0.4     |
| LaD           | Lackawanna channery loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes   | 698    | 0.2     |
| LbB           | Lackawanna extremely stony loam. 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 13.291 | 3.4     |

| Map       | Soil name  | Acres    | Percer |
|-----------|--|----------|--------|
| YNDOI     |  | 1        |        |
|           |  | 1        |        |
| eВ        | Meckesville gravelly loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 2,059    | 0.5    |
| eC        | Meckesville gravelly loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 791      | 0.2    |
| 1 B       | Mackesville very story loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes-  | 2,230    | 0.6    |
| à D       | Merche chargery stony loam, o to 25 percent slopes   | 1,427    | 0.4    |
| 65<br>08  | Morris extremely site loam, 2 to to percent slopes   | 1 1,413  | 0.4    |
| 0C        | Morris extremely stony silt loam, 8 to 20 percent slopes   | 1 13,015 | 1 3.3  |
| D         | Mucky peat, deep   | 4,322    | 1 1 1  |
| 3         | Mucky peat, shallow  | 5.630    | 1 11   |
| ĸВ        | Oquaga-Lackawanna channery loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes  | 1,904    | 0.5    |
| кC        | Oquaga-Lackawanna channery loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes   | 2,226    | 0.6    |
| кD        | Oquaga-Lackawanna channery loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes  | 995      | 0.3    |
| ĸВ        | [Oquaga-Lackawanna extremely stony loams, 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 9,068    | 2.3    |
| кC        | Oquaga-Lackawanna extremely stony loams, 8 to 25 percent slopes  | 17,143   | 4.3    |
| 1         | Philo silt loam  | 1,936    | 0.5    |
| 2         | Pope Silt loam big botho   | 1,871    | 0.5    |
| ,<br>     | Pope sitt loam, nigh bottom  | 1,770    | 0.5    |
| 5A<br>5 D | Perford gravely silt loam, U to 3 percent slopes   | 1,454    | 0.4    |
| -C        | Rectord gravely silt loam, 5 to 5 percent slopes   | 1 311    | 0.1    |
| D D       | Rushtown shaly silt loam, 5 to 20 percent slopes-  | 0/2      | i U.2  |
| 10        | Sheffield silt loam  | 1 5 2 0  | 0.1    |
| nA        | Shelmadine silt loam. 0 to 3 percent slopes-   | 1,050    | 0.4    |
| bВ        | Shelmadine very stony silt loam. 0 to 8 percent slopes   | 732      | 0.2    |
| √B        | Swartswood channery sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes  | 757      | 0.2    |
| vC .      | Swartswood channery sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes   | 481      | 0.1    |
| (B        | Swartswood extremely stony sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes   | 12,803   | 3.3    |
| «C        | Swartswood extremely stony sandy loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes  | 4,837    | 1.2    |
| aC        | Very stony land and Rock outcrops, sloping   | 2,757    | 0.7    |
| аE        | Very stony land and Rock outgrops, steep   | 2,631    | 0.7    |
| DA<br>D   | Volusia gravely silt loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes   | 287      | 0,1    |
|           | Volusia gravelly silt loam, 5 to 5 percent slopes  | 824      | 0.2    |
| LD LD     | Volusia extremely stony silt idam, 0 to 0 percent slopes   | 27,097   | 0.8    |
| 10        | Wayland eilty clay loss  | 1,099    | 0.3    |
| 83        | Weiker channery wilt loam 2 to 8 percent clones graded   | 2,241    | 0.0    |
| C 3       | Weikert channery silt loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes, eroded   | 640      | 0.1    |
| D3        | Weikert channery silt loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes, eroded  | 888      | 0.2    |
| ıВ        | Weikert-Hartleton channery silt loams, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 2.795    | 0.7    |
| n C       | Weikert-Hartleton channery silt loams, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 2.855    | 0.7    |
| 1D        | Weikert-Hartleton channery silt loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes   | 983      | 0.3    |
| Έ         | Weikert and Klinesville soils, steep   | 4,235    | 1.1    |
| 1B        | Wellsboro channery loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 3,265    | 0.8    |
| 10        | Wellsboro channery loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 1,057    | 0.3    |
| 38        | Wellsboro extremely stony loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes-   | 19,422   | 4.9    |
|           | Wertsboro extremely stony loam, o to 25 percent slopes   | 5,015    | 1.3    |
| .c        | Worth extremely stony sandy loam, 0 to o percent slopes  | 2,240    | 0.6    |
| B         | Wurtshoro changery loam 2 to 12 percent slopes   | 1,322    | 0.3    |
| B         | Wurtsboro extremely stony loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes  | 17 202   | 1 3    |
| C         | Wurtsboro extremely stony loam, 8 to 25 percent slopes   | 2,573    | 0.7    |
| A         | Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes   | 1,833    | 0.5    |
| в         | Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes   | 4,157    | 1.1    |
| C         | Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes  | 5,196    | 1.3    |
| D         | Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 15 to 25 percent slopes   | 2,002    | 0.5    |
| Έ         | Wyoming gravelly sandy loam, 25 to 70 percent slopes   | 2,607    | 0.7    |
|           | Gravel pit-second second secon | 778      | 0.2    |
|           | water  | 1,337    | 0.3    |
|           | Tetal  |          |        |
|           | 10/81  | 391,040  | 100.0  |
|           |  |          |        |

<sup>1</sup>Less than 0.1 percent

132

131

Many different soil types are Native to the Pocono region

SOIL SURVEY



### Remember to call national "800" dig if you are within a community

- Underground utilities need to be marked out:
- >>Gas
- >>Electric
- >>Cable/Fiber
- >>Sanitary Sewer



# Lets Get digging! Thank You!